

Decisions listed below that are Key Decisions will come into force and may then be implemented on the expiry of 5 clear working days after unless called-in by at least 5 non-executive members in writing and submitted to the Monitoring Officer.

Agenda Item No	Topic		Decision	
7	FCR S142 Procurement of Core Insurance Provision	contracts.	rious options considered in the proc ward of contracts for the following in Policy Coverage Property Deferred Casualty (Liability) & Fidelity	
		3	Guarantee Motor Fleet	Supplier G
		4	Engineering Inspection & Insurance	Supplier F Supplier B
		5	Personal Accident/Business Travel	Supplier H
		6	Terrorism	Supplier A

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		 To approve officers to proceed with the contracts to ensure that insurance cover is in place for 1st April 2023.
		4. To delegate authority to the Group Director, Finance and Corporate Resources to award the contract for the [lot 1 - Property] contract under terms as shall be agreed, in consultation with the Chair of Cabinet Procurement and Insourcing Committee, by the Director of Legal, Democratic and Electoral Services, and authorise the Director of Legal, Democratic and Electoral Services to prepare, agree, settle and sign the necessary legal documentation to effect the proposals contained in this report.
		Reason(s) For Decision / Options Appraisal
		The Council will always be exposed to a range of risks, some of which are insurable and others are uninsurable. The purchase of an effective insurance portfolio manages the potential exposure of the Council to the insurable risks.
		The portfolio includes a significant degree of self-insurance, thereby avoiding what can best be described as "pound swapping" i.e. the additional premiums paid for low or no excess on insurance policies effectively cost £1 for £1 on meeting claims payments plus associated costs, and ensuring that the Council benefits from the reduction in claims.
		This report covers the insurance policies and arrangements which are due for renewal on 1st April 2023 and excludes the residential leasehold buildings insurance contracts, which are still within contract and which have historically been served by a separate procurement exercise.
		As mentioned in the Cabinet Member's introduction, there was some concern that insurance

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		premiums the Council could have faced prior to the procurement exercise would have resulted in increased costs to the Council. There was further concern that in the marketplace some insurers maintained caution about the risk profile of the public sector. Consequently the Council's Insurance Services Team has worked hard to mitigate claim exposures and to demonstrate to leading Insurers that the Council has implemented effective control measures and is willing to work hard in partnership with them to transfer or mitigate the risks it is exposed to.
		Recommendations to award the contracts to the list of suppliers set out in the main section of this report for Lots 2-6 are the most economically advantageous. This reflects high quality scores for those selected. The price/quality evaluation varied between the types of insurance and a full breakdown of what this covered is included within Appendix B - Costs & Service Comparison Summary (Exempt)
		In total the estimated savings in respect of Lots 2 - 6 for the 2023/24 premium over the current contracts are expected to be c.£90k, with the largest saving arising from the Casualty cover. If these premium levels were held throughout the 3 year contract, total savings would amount to c.£270k or c.£450k if the contracts remained in place for the full 3 + 2 year extension. Please note that the premiums quoted within the report are subject to normal increases in line with index linking, higher rates of Insurance Premium Tax, increased rebuilding costs, changes to assets and our claims performance.
		As in previous years the cost of claims falling within the policy excess and for which the Council is responsible are met from the internal insurance fund and provision. These funds are reviewed annually (externally biennially) and the appropriate adjustments made within the normal finance activities.
		Recommendation(s) relating to the award of Lot 1 - Property is deferred pending further detailed assessment of the proposed terms in the context of certainty of cover, coverage,

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		conditions applied and compliance with the Council's risk appetite.
		Evaluation and assessment of Lot 1 - Property continues with the support of Marsh and appropriate stakeholders within the Council. In order to facilitate the detailed impact analysis and financial implications beyond the accepted risk appetite and to ensure there is sufficient time to mobilise the contract in time for the expiry of the existing contract on 31 March 2023.
8	AHI S171 ADVOCACY SERVICES CONTRACT AWARD	RESOLVED:
		1.To award the contract for the delivery of the Advocacy Service to Provider C for a period of up to 5 years commencing in June 2023 at a maximum cost of £2,645m (an average of £529k per annum). The contract is for 3 years with the option to extend for up to 2 years (1+1);
		2. To award an extension to the current providers of the Advocacy Service, for a period of 2 months (April - May 23) at maximum value of £104,707.00 (£97k & £7.7k) . This is to enable the newly appointed bidder to mobilise effectively, without disruption to service delivery.
		Reason(s) For Decision / Options Appraisal
		This report asks CPIC to approve the award of contract for an Advocacy service under a lead provider aimed at supporting vulnerable cohorts who are in need of advocacy services to support their well-being, enable them to have their voices heard and to live their lives in dignity and in accordance with their wishes
		This provision will enable the Council to meet its statutory obligations with regard to providing independent advocacy services as required under the relevant Acts referred to in section 2.2.

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		The contract will deliver advocacy support to meet local need through a range of community providers under the leadership and direction of a lead provider. The current Advocacy services in the London Borough of Hackney are designed to provide issue-based advocacy and can be categorised as statutory and non-statutory advocacy services. Alternative Options (Considered and Rejected) The following 5 options were appraised for the future of the Advocacy provision in the borough: • Alternative Option 1: Insource • Alternative Option 2: Remain As Is • Alternative Option 3: Activity Based Contract • Alternative Option 4: Separate Contracts/Multiple Providers • Alternative Option 5a: No Non Statutory Advocacy Delivery: • Alternative Option 5b: Reduced Non Statutory Delivery • Alternative Option 5c Seek Separate Funding Hackney Procurement Board approved the business case for the preferred procurement option in September 2022
9	FCR S117 MODERN TOOLS FOR HOUSING PROGRAMME TRANSITION SUPPORT	RESOLVED: To approve a contract variation, to extend by a maximum period of six (6) months, the current contract for Modern Tools for Housing software development services.

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		REASONS FOR DECISION & OPTIONS APPRAISAL
		The proposed contract variation is essential to enable continued delivery of essential software tools for housing services and ensuring an effective transition to in-house delivery and support.
		The cyberattack of October 2020 has impacted significantly on the Modern Tools for Housing programme because that meant that the previous housing system (Universal Housing) became unavailable and it was therefore no longer possible to deliver a phased migration from the old system to new software. As a result, teams in housing services have been required to use interim solutions to deliver services while new software is delivered.
		Following the decision to commission a strategic review of the housing service's technology needs it was necessary to identify the best value approach for continued delivery of current work in progress while the review takes place and ongoing direction is agreed. Variation of the current contract is recommended on the grounds that:
		The work required covers critical functionality required by the housing service. The variation includes transition to the in-house ICT team for support and further development of essential functionality pending the completion of the strategic review. No further variation of this contract will be required.
		Variation of the current contract removes the requirement for transition / handover, so the pace of delivery is uninterrupted while the strategic review is in progress.
		Variation of this contract is permitted under Regulation 72 which states:

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		72(1)(b) for additional works, services or supplies by the original contractor that have become necessary and were not included in the initial procurement, where a change of contractor—
		(i) cannot be made for economic or technical reasons such as requirements of interchangeability or interoperability with existing equipment, services or installations procured under the initial procurement, and
		(ii) would cause significant inconvenience or substantial duplication of costs for the contracting authority,
		provided that any increase in price does not exceed 50% of the value of the original contract
		The proposed variation is 50% of the original contract value. Changing contractor is not considered viable for the reasons set out in 5.3 (above). Specifically, a change of contractor would:
		 Delay the delivery of essential functionality required by Housing services, whose legacy system was rendered unavailable by the criminal cyberattack of October 2020. Incur additional costs and significant inconvenience through requiring transition to a new contractor and delaying the transition to the in-house support and development model.
		 Incur duplication of costs through otherwise unnecessary handover prior to the transition to in-house support and development.
		No further variation will be required once the transition to the in-house model is complete.
		The Director of Climate Homes and Economy Finance has confirmed that this additional expenditure can be funded from within their existing budgets and no other additional funding will be required.

Agenda	Topic	Decision
Item No		